

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,424	06/27/2001	Royan Herbert Bartley	ROC920000298US1	2822	
24038	7590 11/12/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MARTIN & ASSOCIATES, LLC			LUU, LE HIEN		
P O BOX 548 CARTHAGE, MO 64836-0548		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2141		
			D. ME		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T A self-self-self-self-self-self-self-self-				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/892,424	BARTLEY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Le H Luu	2141			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 10 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provisions of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  t the mailing date of this communication.  TD (35 U.S.C. 8 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/2	<u>7/01</u> - 10/15/04.				
		is action is non-final.				
3)□						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔀 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/27/01-10/15/04</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/892,424

Art Unit: 2141

1. Claims 1-22 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102

Page 2

that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by

the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Duimovich et al. (Duimovich) Pub. No. 2002/0052947.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,424

- 4. As to claims 5, Duimovich teaches a networked computer system comprising:
- (A) a first computer system (Director Server Site 120, figure 1; page 2 para [0033]);
- (B) a second computer system coupled to the first computer system via a network (end user site 50, figure 1; page 2 para. [0033], the second computer system comprising:
- (B1) a performance data collection mechanism that collects performance data for the second computer system (page 2 para. [0033]);
- (B2) a performance data transmission mechanism that, when enabled, transmits at least a portion of the performance data to the first computer system (page 2 para [0033]; page 5 para [0057]); and
- (B3) a performance data access mechanism that allows access to the performance data by a user of the second computer system only if the performance data transmission mechanism is enabled (page 3 para [0039-0041]).
- 5. As to claims 6, Duimovich teaches the first computer system comprises a vendor computer system and the second computer system comprises a customer computer system (page 3 para [0036]).
- 6. As to claims 7, Duimovich teaches performance data comprises data collected by an operating system (page 3 para [0037]; and tables 1-2 begin on page 3).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,424

Art Unit: 2141

7. Claims 1-4 and 8-11 have similar limitations as claims 5-7; therefore, they are

rejected under the same rationale.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Le H Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-3884.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4

November 08, 2004